UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,586	01/13/2006	Klaus Biester	1600-13400 DAR	1816
45933 CONLEY ROS	7590 04/22/201 E. P.C.	EXAMINER		
David A. Rose	, -	BASTIANELLI, JOHN		
SUITE 7100	600 TRAVIS SUITE 7100			PAPER NUMBER
HOUSTON, TX 77002			3753	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/22/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/564,586	BIESTER, KLAUS	
Examiner	Art Unit	
John Bastianelli	3753	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

	ndment document filed on 28 July 2010 is considered				
requireme item(s) is i		ent document to be compliant, correction of the following			
	LOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMEN 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markin B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined. C. Other				
□ 2	2. Abstract: A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR B. Other	1.72.			
□ 3	"Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1. B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing	te top margin as "Replacement Sheet," "New Sheet," or 121(d). correction has been eliminated. Replacement drawings, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84 are required.			
⊠ 4	C. Each claim has not been provided with the proof each claim cannot be identified. Note: the number by using one of the following status (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered)	resent. t of all pending claims (including withdrawn claims) roper status identifier, and as such, the individual status e status of every claim must be indicated after its claim identifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), o, (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended). ot been presented in ascending numerical order.			
□ 5	5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not sign	ed in accordance with 37 CFR 1.4):			
For furthe	er explanation of the amendment format required by 3	7 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714.			
TIME PEF	RIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:				
filed a	Applicant is given no new time period if the non-compliant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendment filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted.				
correc (includ amend <i>Quayl</i>	Applicant is given one month , or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a <i>Quayle</i> action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked, the correction required is only the corrected section of the non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.				
	ttensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(nendment or an amendment filed in response to a <i>Qu</i>	a) <u>only</u> if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final <i>layle</i> action.			
	filed in response to a <i>Quayle</i> action; or	nt amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment mendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental			
/John Ba Primary E	astianelli/ Examiner, Art Unit 3753				

Continuation of 4(e) Other: The claim identifiers in the claims lack the identifier withdrawn as cited in the examples above. The examiner also points to the election/restriction that states "Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added (emphasis added). An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election". Applicant has not identified where claims 53-56 are readable.